

Application No. 10/694,039  
Amendment Dated February 28, 2007  
Reply to Office Action of February 13, 2007


**REMARKS**

In the Office Action of February 13, 2007, the Examiner issued a Restriction Requirement indicating that the present application included claims directed to two distinct inventions. Specifically, the Examiner stated that Invention I, including claim 1-11, was drawn to producing a first and second demodulation technique while Invention II, including claims 12-33, was drawn to a method of performing estimation of modulation signal parameters. By the present response, the applicant hereby elects to prosecute Invention I, including claim 1-11, without traverse. By the present response, claims 12-33 have been cancelled from the pending application.

The Examiner is invited to contact the applicant's undersigned attorney with any questions or comments, or to otherwise facilitate prosecution of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

ANDRUS, SCEALES, STARKE & SAWALL, LLP

By:   
Joseph D. Kuborn  
Reg. No. 40,689

Andrus, Scales, Starke & Sawall, LLP  
100 East Wisconsin Avenue, St. 1100  
Milwaukee, WI 53202  
(414) 271-7590